RESEARCH PUBLISHING POLICY RMDC

Purpose of the policy: To outline the standards, procedures, and ethical guidelines for disseminating scholarly work.

Scope: Students, faculty RMDC

1. Ethical Guidelines:

- Authorship criteria: only that author will be credited who has contributed substantially
 in conception of idea, methodology, drafting, revising the work and final approval). Just
 collection of data will not qualify as author. Ghost authors are not allowed.
- Conflict of Interest: Researchers must disclose any conflicts that could bias their work.
- Plagiarism: No plagiarism, including self-plagiarism (duplicate publishing of one's own work) is allowed.
- Data fabrication/falsification: No manipulation of research data is allowed.
- Peer Review: Technical review committees and IRB will conduct peer review process to ensure integrity and confidentiality.

2. Open Access vs. Subscription-Based:

• Open Access: RMDC encourages research to be published in open-access journals to increase accessibility.

3. Copyright:

• Copyright Ownership: Authors must clarify they will retain the copyright or transfer it to the publisher.

4. Funding Acknowledgement:

 Policies often require that sources of research funding are clearly acknowledged in publications.

Moreen Rehet

Dr. Noreen Rahat
Professor - HOD
Community Medicine Department
Rahbar Medical & Dental College
La or Cantt

Feedback Form: Research Advisory Committee Faculty Performance

	Purpose : Your feedback on the advice provided by the Research Advisory Committee will hus assess the effectiveness of the support and guidance you received.		
	TOPIC:		
	1. Respondent Information		
	•	Role/Position: □ Student □ Faculty □ Researcher □ Other (please specify):	
	2. Quality of Advice		
	1.	How would you rate the clarity of the advice provided by the Research advisory committee faculty?	
		☐ Excellent ☐ Good ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Needs Improvement ☐ Poor	
	2.	How relevant was the advice to your research needs? ☐ Highly Relevant ☐ Relevant ☐ Somewhat Relevant ☐ Not Relevant	
	3.	Was the advice helpful in addressing your research challenges?	
		☐ Very Helpful ☐ Helpful ☐ Neutral ☐ Limited Help ☐ Not Helpful	
	3. Ov	3. Overall Satisfaction and Suggestions	
	4.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the support provided by the Research advisory committee faculty?	
		☐ Very Satisfied ☐ Satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Dissatisfied ☐ Very Dissatisfied	
(5.	Do you have any suggestions for improving the advice provided?	

Prof Dr Zahid Kanfin Siddiqui
Thank you for your feedback MBBS (19), FLPS (Ophih),
FRES (I alla) MCPE (HPE)
Principal & Heart of Ophihalmology Dept
Rahbar Medical and Dentel College, Lahore

Dr. Noreen Rahat Professor - HOD Community Medicine Department Rahbar Medical & Dental College La' or Cantt